



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

6963	
6963	
EXAMINER	
GE, GARY CHAPMAN	
PAPER NUMBER	
но	

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/829,643	GALL, ROBERT ANDREW
Examiner	Art Unit
Gary C. Hoge	3611

	Gary C. Hoge	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		NOT KEI ET WAOTIEE	D 11111111 1110		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must the AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.		
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	because		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			2004400		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	·				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or					
(d) Market They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		·			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 10-16.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>17-19</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EV I DENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			ance because:		
12. $igsqcup$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s))			
13. Other:		$\triangle M$			
•		Gary C Hoge			
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3611			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states that "The North Carolina DMV web site shown and cited herein was not published more than one year before the filing of the instant application." This is incorrect. Although the copy of the website that was cited by the examiner was in fact captured on June 20, 2003, it is nonetheless true that the content of that website was exactly, word-for-word the same on February 2, 2003, as shown on the version attached hereto. This proves that the information cited previously was, in fact, available to the public prior to April 20, 2003, and therefore, the North Carolina DMV website is a valid reference under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b). Note that it is the website itself, and not the paper copy of it supplied by the Examiner, that is the basis for the rejection.

Applicant states: There is no date shown on page 2" of the DMV reference. On the contrary, the website was accessed through the "Internet Archive" (www.archive.org), which stores dated copies of websites. The date the website was archived is encoded into the URL that is printed at the bottom of the page. Note that in the version attached hereto, the date is "20030202091136," which means February 2, 2003, at 09:11:36 in the morning.

Applicant further states that "the DMV reference does not contemplate or even remotely suggest that the non-alphanumeric indicia be used for the purpose pointed out and cliamed in the instant application." Even if true, this is irrelevant because the claims are apparatus claims, not method claims. The publicly-known existance of the claimed apparatus, one year prior to the filing of the instant application, is sufficient to reject the claims. The use intended by Applicant is irrelevant.

Finally, failure to conduct a personal interview, for whatever reason, is not a grounds for withdrawing the final rejection. Applicant has no right to an interview after a final rejection. Such interviews are always at the discretion of the examiner, and are normally only granted if the examiner is convinced that they will lead to allowance of the claims.